arXiv:1303.4086v1 [math.NT] 17 Mar 2013

Drinfeld modular polynomials in higher rank II

Florian Breuer[*]   Hans-Georg Rück

March 20, 2013

Abstract

This is a sequel to the paper [3], in which we introduced Drinfeld modular polynomials of higher rank, using an analytic construction. These polynomials relate the isomorphism invariants of Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$-modules of rank $r \geq 2$ linked by isogenies of a specified type. In the current paper, we give an algebraic construction of greater generality, and prove a generalization of the Kronecker congruences relations, which describe what happens when modular polynomials associated to P-isogenies are reduced modulo a prime P. We also correct an error in [3].

1 Isomorphism invariants

Denote by $\mathbb{F}_q$ the finite field of q elements. Let $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $g_1, \ldots, g_{r-1}$ be algebraically independent over $k = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$, and let $B = (A \otimes \mathbb{F}_{q^r})[g_1, \ldots, g_{r-1}] = \mathbb{F}_{q^r}[T, g_1, \ldots, g_{r-1}]$. Denote by $\tau : x \mapsto x^q$ the q-Frobenius, and for any ring R we denote by $R\{\tau\}$ the ring of twisted polynomials in τ with coefficients in R, subject to the commutation relations $\tau a = a^q \tau$ for all $a \in R$.

Let $\varphi : A \to B\{\tau\}$ be the Drinfeld A-module of rank r determined by

$$\varphi_T = T + g_1\tau + \cdots + g_{r-1}\tau^{r-1} + \tau^r.$$

We think of φ as the *monic generic Drinfeld A-module of rank r*. A general reference for Drinfeld modules is [4, §4].

The group $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}^*$ acts on B via

$$\lambda * g_i = \lambda^{q^i - 1} g_i, \qquad i = 1, 2, \ldots, r-1,$$

and we denote by $C = B^{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}^*}$ the ring of invariants. Then C is the ring of isomorphism invariants of rank r Drinfeld A-modules in the following sense.

Proposition 1.1 *For every algebraically closed field L with $A \subset L$ there is a canonical bijection between the isomorphism classes of rank r Drinfeld A-modules with coefficients in L, and the L-rational points of* $\operatorname{Spec} C$ *over* $\operatorname{Spec} k$.

Proof. The L rational points of $\operatorname{Spec} C$ over $\operatorname{Spec} k$ correspond to A-algebra homomorphisms $m : C \to L$.

---

[*] Supported by the Alexander von Humboldt foundation, and by the NRF grant BS2008100900027.

Let $\psi : A \to L\{\tau\}$ be a Drinfeld module over the algebraically closed field L, then up to isomorphism we may assume that it is monic, i.e. that

$$\psi_T = T + a_1\tau + \cdots + a_{r-1}\tau^{r-1} + \tau^r, \qquad a_i \in L.$$

We associate to ψ the A-algebra homomorphism

$$m_\psi : B \to L \text{ with } m_\psi(g_i) = a_i\,.$$

Let $\iota : C \hookrightarrow B$ be the inclusion, then the L-rational point on $\operatorname{Spec} C$

$$m_\psi \circ \iota : C \to L$$

is invariant under isomorphisms.

Conversely, let $m : C \to L$ be an A-algebra homomorphism. Since $g_i^{q^r-1} \in C$, we can extend m to B by defining $m(g_i)$ to be a chosen (q^r-1)th root of $m(g_i^{q^r-1})$ in L.

Each homomorphism $m : B \to L$ yields a Drinfeld module ψ with

$$\psi_T = T + m(g_1)\tau + \cdots + m(g_{r-1})\tau^{r-1} + \tau^r.$$

Now let ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ be two Drinfeld modules such that $m_\psi \circ \iota = m_{\tilde{\psi}} \circ \iota$. We have to show that ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ are isomorphic over L.

Suppose that ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ are not isomorphic, then for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}^*$, there is a $g_\lambda \in \{g_1, g_2 \ldots, g_{r-1}\}$ for which $m_\psi(\lambda * g_\lambda) \neq m_{\tilde{\psi}}(g_\lambda)$. We consider the function $f \in B \otimes L$ defined by

$$f = 1 - \prod_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}^*} \frac{g_\lambda - m_\psi(\lambda * g_\lambda)}{m_{\tilde{\psi}}(g_\lambda) - m_\psi(\lambda * g_\lambda)}\,.$$

We evaluate

$$m_{\tilde{\psi}}(f) = 0 \text{ and } m_\psi(\mu * f) = 1 \text{ for each } \mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}^*\,.$$

This yields

$$1 = m_\psi(\prod_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}^*} (\mu * f)) = (m_\psi \circ \iota)(\prod_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}^*} (\mu * f)) = (m_{\tilde{\psi}} \circ \iota)(\prod_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}^*} (\mu * f)) = 0\,,$$

a contradiction, which proves the proposition. □

Remark 1.2 *In this paper all Drinfeld modules have generic characteristic, however the above proposition still holds in arbitrary characteristic.*

Any rank r Drinfeld A-module over an algebraically closed field L is isomorphic to a monic Drinfeld module given by

$$\psi_T = T + a_1\tau + \cdots + a_{r-1}\tau^{r-1} + \tau^r, \quad a_i \in L.$$

Let $J \in C$, and denote by $J(\psi) \in L$ the result of specialising $(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{r-1}) = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{r-1})$. Then Proposition 1.1 says that two Drinfeld modules ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ are isomorphic over an algebraically closed field if and only if $J(\psi) = J(\tilde{\psi})$ for every $J \in C$ (see also [5]).

If $r = 2$, then in fact $C = A[j]$, where $j = g_1^{q+1}$ is the usual j-invariant of φ. In general, the ring C is a finitely generated A-algebra, and an explicit set of generators is constructed in [5]. This means that one needs a finite set of invariants in order to determine whether or not any two Drinfeld modules are isomorphic. For a given finite set of Drinfeld modules, however, one can find a single invariant to distinguish between them.

Proposition 1.3 *Let S be a finite set of rank r Drinfeld A-modules. Then there exists $J \in C$ such that J* distinguishes *S, i.e. $J(\varphi_1) \neq J(\varphi_2)$ for all $\varphi_1 \neq \varphi_2 \in S$. Moreover, J may be chosen as an A-linear combination of any given set of generators of C.*

Proof. We use induction on $n := |S|$. The result is clearly true for $n \leq 2$. Let $n \geq 3$, and suppose that the statement is true for $n - 1$. Pick $\varphi_1 \in S$ and let $S_1 := S \smallsetminus \{\varphi_1\}$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists $J_1 \in C$ which distinguishes S_1. If J_1 distinguishes S then we're done. If not, then there exists $\varphi_2 \in S$ such that $J_1(\varphi_1) = J_2(\varphi_2)$, and moreover $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$ is the only pair in S on which J_1 takes the same value.

Pick $J_2 \in C$ which distinguishes $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$, and consider $J_a := J_1 + aJ_2$ for all $a \in A$. If J_a distinguishes S for some $a \in A$, then we're done. If not, then there exists a pair $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in S$ for which $J_a(\psi_1) = J_a(\psi_2)$ for at least two distinct values of $a \in A$. From this, we easily deduce that $J_1(\psi_1) = J_1(\psi_2)$, which forces $\{\psi_1, \psi_2\} = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$, and $J_2(\psi_1) = J_2(\psi_2)$, which is a contradiction. □

2 Isogenies and modular polynomials

Let $N \in A$ be monic, then φ_N applied to a variable X defines an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear polynomial $\varphi_N(X) \in B[X]$ which is monic and separable over B of degree $q^{r \deg N}$. Let $K = \mathrm{Quot}(B) = \mathbb{F}_{q^r}(T, g_1, \ldots, g_{r-1})$, denote by K_N the splitting field of $\varphi_N(X)$ over K, and let R_N be the integral closure of B in K_N. Then the set $\varphi[N] \subset R_N$ of roots of $\varphi_N(X)$ is an A-module isomorphic to $(A/NA)^r$.

Let $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$ be the absolute Galois group of K, which acts on $\varphi[N]$, and since this action respects the A-module structure induced by φ, we obtain a Galois representation

$$\rho_N : \mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\varphi[N]) \cong \mathrm{GL}_r(A/NA),$$

which is shown in [2] to be surjective.

Let f be an isogeny from φ to another Drinfeld module $\varphi^{(f)}$. This means that

$$f\varphi_T = \varphi_T^{(f)} f. \tag{1}$$

Write $f = f_0 + f_1\tau + \cdots + f_d\tau^d$. Replacing f by $f_d^{-1}f$ gives

$$(f_d^{-1}f)\varphi_T = (f_d^{-1}\varphi_T^{(f)} f_d)\,(f_d^{-1}f),$$

so if we replace $\varphi^{(f)}$ by an isomorphic Drinfeld module, we may assume that $f_d = 1$, i.e. f is monic. In this case, there exists a monic $N \in A$ such that

$$\ker f \subset \varphi[N],$$

and so $f \in R_N\{\tau\}$. Now comparing the coefficients of the highest powers of τ in (1) shows that $\varphi_T^{(f)} \in R_N\{\tau\}$ is also monic. For any invariant $J \in C$ we find that $J(\varphi^{(f)}) \in R_N$.

Denote by I_N the set of all monic isogenies $f \in R_N\{\tau\}$ with $\ker f \subset \varphi[N]$, as above.

Definition 2.1 *Let $J \in C$ be an invariant. We call*

$$\Phi_{J,N}(X) := \prod_{f \in I_N} \big(X - J(\varphi^{(f)})\big) \in R_N[X]$$

the full modular polynomial of level N associated to J.

Proposition 2.2 $\Phi_{J,N}(X) \in C[X]$.

Proof. $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$ permutes the roots of $\Phi_{J,N}(X)$, so we get $\Phi_{J,N}(X) \in B[X]$. To show that the coefficients actually lie in C, we show that they are invariant under the action of $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}^*$ on B.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}^*$, and consider the polynomial $\lambda * \Phi_{J,N}(X) \in B[X]$, where we have applied λ to each coefficient of $\Phi_{J,N}(X)$. This is the full modular polynomial corresponding to the Drinfeld module $\lambda^{-1}\varphi\lambda$, which is isomorphic to φ. Its roots are the J-invariants of the isogenous Drinfeld modules $(\lambda^{-1}\varphi\lambda)^{(f)}$, where f ranges over all isogenies with $\ker f \subset (\lambda^{-1}\varphi\lambda)[N]$, but these are isomorphic to the $\varphi^{(f)}$ with $f \in I_N$, and hence have the same J-invariants. Thus $\lambda * \Phi_{J,N}(X) = \Phi_{J,N}(X)$ and the result follows. $\square$

Definition 2.3 *Let $H \subset \varphi[N] \cong (A/NA)^r$ be an A-submodule. Any isogeny $f \in I_N$ for which* $\ker f$ *lies in the* $\mathrm{GL}_r(A/NA)$ *orbit of H is called an isogeny of* type H.

Let $J \in C$ be an invariant. Then we call

$$\Phi_{J,H}(X) := \prod_{f \,\in\, I_N \text{ of type } H} \big(X - J(\varphi^{(f)})\big) \in R_N[X]$$

the modular polynomial of type H associated to J.

Proposition 2.4 *Let $H \subset \varphi[N]$ be an A-submodule, and let $J \in C$ be an invariant that distinguishes the Drinfeld modules $\{\varphi^{(f)} \mid f \text{ of type } H\}$. Then $\Phi_{J,H}(X) \in C[X]$ and is irreducible over K.*

Proof. By construction, $\Phi_{J,H}(X) \in R_N[X]$, but the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.2 shows that in fact $\Phi_{J,H}(X) \in C[X]$.

The condition on J ensures a one-to-one correspondence between the roots of $\Phi_{J,H}(X)$ and $\{\varphi^{(f)} \mid f \text{ of type } H\}$. From the surjectivity of the Galois representation ρ_N, we see that the $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$-orbits of the Drinfeld modules $\{\varphi^{(f)} \mid f \in I_N\}$ correspond to $\mathrm{GL}_r(A/NA)$-orbits of submodules of $\varphi[N]$. In particular, $\Phi_{J,H}(X)$ corresponds to one $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$-orbit of the roots of the full modular polynomial $\Phi_{J,N}(X)$, hence it is irreducible over K.

Alternatively, one can extend the analytic construction in [3] and interpret the roots $J(\varphi^{(f)})$ of $\Phi_{J,H}(X)$ as functions on Drinfeld's period domain Ω^r. The action of $\mathrm{GL}_r(A)$ on Ω^r then induces a transitive action of $\mathrm{GL}_r(A/NA)$ on the roots of $\Phi_{J,H}(X)$, and irreducibility follows. $\square$

Moreover, if J distinguishes the Drinfeld modules $\{\varphi^{(f)} \mid f \in I_N\}$, then the modular polynomials of the various types H correspond precisely to the $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$-orbits of the roots of $\Phi_{J,N}(X)$, hence the $\Phi_{J,H}(X)$ are precisely the irreducible factors of the full modular polynomial $\Phi_{J,N}(X)$ in $C[X]$.

Let ψ be a Drinfeld module over a field L, and denote by $\Phi_{J(\psi),H}(X) \in L[X]$ the result of evaluating the coefficients of $\Phi_{J,H}(X)$ at ψ. Then the roots of $\Phi_{J(\psi),H}(X)$ are precisely $\{J(\psi^{(f)}) \mid f \text{ of type } H\}$.

When $r = 2$, then $C = A[j]$ and $\Phi_{j,(A/NA)}(X) = \Phi_N(j, X) \in A[j, X]$ is the usual Drinfeld modular polynomial constructed in [1].

3 Correction to [3]

In [3] we gave an analytic construction of modular polynomials of type $(A/NA)^{r-1}$. These polynomials also classify *incoming* isogenies $\varphi' \to \varphi$ with kernels isomorphic to A/NA, whereas the point of view of the present article is to classify modular polynomials by the kernels of the dual, *outgoing* isogenies $f : \varphi \to \varphi'$, which explains the shift in terminology from type A/NA to type $(A/NA)^{r-1}$.

Theorem 1.1 of [3] claims that the polynomials $\Phi_{J,(A/NA)^{r-1}}(X)$ are irreducible, but this is only true if $J \in C$ distinguishes the set of Drinfeld modules $\{\varphi^{(f)} \mid f \text{ of type } (A/NA)^{r-1}\}$, i.e. when $\Phi_{J,(A/NA)^{r-1}}(X)$ has distinct roots. Such invariants $J \in C$ always exist, by Proposition 1.3.

4 Reduction mod P and Kronecker congruence relations

In this section, we let $N = P \in A$ be a monic prime, and we study the reduction of modular polynomials modulo P. When we reduce polynomials in $R_P[X]$, then we are actually reducing modulo a chosen prime of R_P extending P, but we will still write mod P for ease of notation.

Define $\mathbb{F}_P := A/PA$. We start with the following unsurprising result, which says that the generic Drinfeld module φ has ordinary reduction at P.

Proposition 4.1 *$\varphi_P \equiv \tilde{\varphi}_P \cdot \tau^{\deg(P)} \bmod P$, where $\tilde{\varphi}_P \in (B \otimes_A \mathbb{F}_P)\{\tau\}$ is not divisible by τ.*

Proof. Denote by $\bar{\varphi} : A \to (B \otimes_A \mathbb{F}_P)\{\tau\}$ the reduction of φ modulo P. We have $\bar{\varphi}[P] \cong (A/PA)^{r-h}$, where h is the height of $\bar{\varphi}$ (see [4, §4.5]). The linear term of φ_P is P, so $\bar{\varphi}_P$ is divisible by τ and thus $h \geq 1$. On the other hand, the field K_P generated by $\varphi[P]$ over K has transcendence degree r over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Reduction modulo P introduces only one new algebraic relation, so the elements of $\bar{\varphi}[P]$ must generate a field of transcendence degree $r - 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and in particular $\bar{\varphi}[P]$ must contain $r - 1$ $\mathbb{F}_P$-linearly independent elements. It follows that $h = 1$, which proves the proposition. $\square$

Choose a set $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_r \in R_P$ of generators of the A-module $\varphi[P]$. The elements of $\varphi[P]$ are explicitly given by

$$\varphi[P] = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{r} \varphi_{a_i}(w_i) \mid a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r \in \mathbb{F}_P \right\}.$$

By Proposition 4.1 we may assume that exactly one of these generators, say w_r, vanishes modulo P.

Proposition 4.2 *Let $w \in \varphi[P]$ be divisible by a prime of R_P above P. Consider the polynomial*

$$F(X) := \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_P} \bigl(X - \varphi_a(w)\bigr) \in R_P[X].$$

Then

1. *$F(X)$ is independent of the choice of w.*
2. *The only non-zero coefficients of $F(X)$ belong to exponents of the form q^n, so we may write $F \in R_P\{\tau\}$.*
3. *$F : \varphi \to \varphi^{(F)}$ is an isogeny of type A/PA.*
4. *$F \equiv \tau^{\deg P} \bmod P$ and*

   $$\varphi_T^{(F)} \equiv T + g_1^{|P|}\tau + \cdots + g_{r-1}^{|P|}\tau^{r-1} + \tau^r \bmod P,$$

   where we have written $|P| := q^{\deg P} = |\mathbb{F}_P|$.

Proof. By construction, the roots of $F(X)$ are the $|P|$ elements of $\varphi[P]$ which vanish modulo P. Since this is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-vector space, F is $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear and hence (2) holds. Then the rest is clear. □

Definition 4.3 *The isogeny $F \in R_P\{\tau\}$ constructed above is called the P-*Frobenius isogeny*.*

Let $1 \le s < r$. The isogenies of type $(A/PA)^s$ correspond to the s-dimensional subspaces of $\varphi[P] \cong \mathbb{F}_P^r$. These in turn correspond to the $s \times r$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{F}_P$ in reduced row-echelon form with a leading 1 in each row; the rows of such a matrix form a basis of the corresponding subspace. To such a matrix (m_{ij}) we associate

$$\eta_i := \sum_{j=1}^{r} \varphi_{m_{ij}}(w_j) \in \varphi[P], \qquad i = 1, \ldots, s.$$

The corresponding isogeny is given by

$$f_m(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_P} \bigl(X - \varphi_a(\eta_i)\bigr) \in R_P[X],$$

and its kernel is generated by $\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_s$.

Proposition 4.4 *The reduction of $f_m(X)$ modulo P is separable if and only if m_{sr} is not the leading 1 of the last row of the corresponding matrix m.*

Proof. Since $\varphi_a(w_r)$ vanishes modulo P, we see that the reduction of $f_m(X)$ modulo P is divisible by $X^{|P|}$ if and only if $m_{si} = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r-1$. The result follows. □

Definition 4.5 *The isogeny f_m is called* special *if $m_{ir} = 0$ for all i, and we define*

$$\Phi^{\mathrm{sep}}_{J,(A/PA)^s}(X) := \prod_{f_m \text{ special}} \bigl(X - J(\varphi^{(f_m)})\bigr) \in R_P[X].$$

We are now ready to prove our main result.

Theorem 4.6 (Kronecker Congruence Relations) *Let $P \in A$ be a monic prime, $J \in C$ and $1 \leq s < r$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{J,(A/PA)^s}(X) &\equiv \Phi^{\text{sep}}_{J^{|P|},(A/PA)^{s-1}}(X) \cdot \left(\Phi^{\text{sep}}_{J,(A/PA)^s}(X)\right)^{|P|^s} \bmod P \\ &\equiv \Phi^{\text{sep}}_{J^{|P|},(A/PA)^{s-1}}(X) \cdot \left(\Phi^{\text{sep}}_{J^{|P|},(A/PA)^s}(X^{|P|})\right)^{|P|^{s-1}} \bmod P, \end{aligned}$$

and $\Phi^{\text{sep}}_{J^{|P|},(A/PA)^s}(X) \bmod P \in (C \otimes_A \mathbb{F}_P)[X]$ *for every* $s = 1, \dots, r-1$.

Proof. We distinguish two cases for the isogenies of type $(A/PA)^s$ corresponding to the matrices (m_{ij}).

Case 1: m_{sr} is not the leading 1 of the last row. Then the m_{ir} for $1 \leq i \leq s$ can be arbitrary elements of $\mathbb{F}_P$. Modulo P the contribution of $\varphi_{m_{ir}}(w_r)$ vanishes, and we see that $|P|^s$ of these isogenies coincide modulo P with the reduction of a special isogeny. As a result, we have

$$\prod_{f,\text{ case 1}} \left(X - J(\varphi^{(f)})\right) \equiv \left(\Phi^{\text{sep}}_{J,(A/PA)^s}(X)\right)^{|P|^s} \bmod P.$$

Case 2: $m_{sr} = 1$ is the leading 1 of the last row. In this case, by Proposition 4.4 the isogeny f_m can be factorized as $f = \tilde{f} \cdot F$, where F is the P-Frobenius isogeny, and $\tilde{f}$ is a separable isogeny of type $(A/PA)^{s-1}$. Hence we get $\varphi^{(f)} = \left(\varphi^{(F)}\right)^{(\tilde{f})}$. Since $F \equiv \tau^{\deg P} \bmod P$, we find that $J(\varphi^{(f)}) \equiv J^{|P|}(\varphi^{(\tilde{f})}) \bmod P$. All isogenies of type $(A/PA)^{s-1}$ which are separable modulo P occur as such $\tilde{f}$, and we find

$$\prod_{f,\text{ case 2}} \left(X - J(\varphi^{(f)})\right) \equiv \Phi^{\text{sep}}_{J^{|P|},(A/PA)^{s-1}}(X) \bmod P.$$

Combining these two cases, we obtain the first congruence in the Theorem. We obtain the second congruence by moving one power of $|P| = q^{\deg P}$ inside the parentheses.

It remains to show that $\Phi^{\text{sep}}_{J^{|P|},(A/PA)^s}(X) \bmod P \in (C \otimes_A \mathbb{F}_P)[X]$ for every $s = 1, \dots, r-1$. We use backwards induction on s. We start with $s = r-1$. In this case, there is only one $(r-1) \times r$ matrix (m_{ij}) in reduced row-echelon form satisfying $m_{ir} = 0$ for all i. Consequently, there is only one special isogeny, and that is dual to the Frobenius isogeny F. Hence

$$\Phi^{\text{sep}}_{J,(A/PA)^{r-1}}(X) \equiv X - J^{1/|P|} \bmod P,$$

and thus $\Phi^{\text{sep}}_{J^{|P|},(A/PA)^{r-1}}(X) \equiv X - J \bmod P \in (C \otimes_A \mathbb{F}_P)[X]$.

For general $1 \leq s < r-1$, we have

$$\Phi_{J,(A/PA)^{s+1}}(X) \equiv \Phi^{\text{sep}}_{J^{|P|},(A/PA)^s}(X) \cdot \left(\Phi^{\text{sep}}_{J^{|P|},(A/PA)^{s+1}}(X^{|P|})\right)^{|P|^s} \bmod P.$$

Now $\Phi_{J,(A/PA)^{s+1}}(X)$, $\Phi^{\text{sep}}_{J^{|P|},(A/PA)^{s+1}}(X^{|P|}) \bmod P \in (C \otimes_A \mathbb{F}_P)[X]$ by the induction hypothesis, and it follows that $\Phi^{\text{sep}}_{J^{|P|},(A/PA)^s}(X) \bmod P \in (C \otimes_A \mathbb{F}_P)[X]$, too. (If this were not the case, consider the highest coefficient not in $C \otimes_A \mathbb{F}_P$, and remember that all three polynomials are monic). $\square$

Question 4.7 *Suppose that* $J^{|P|} \bmod P$ *distiguishes the Drinfeld modules* $\bar{\varphi}^{(f)}$ *for special isogenies* f*. Are the factors* $\Phi^{\mathrm{sep}}_{J^{|P|},(A/PA)^s}(X)$ *irreducible modulo* P*?*

5 Examples

Example 1. s = 1: In this case $\Phi^{\mathrm{sep}}_{J,(A/PA)^0}(X) = X - J$, so

$$\Phi_{J,(A/PA)}(X) \equiv (X - J^{|P|}) \cdot \Phi^{\mathrm{sep}}_{J^{|P|},(A/PA)}(X^{|P|}) \bmod P.$$

Example 2. s = $r-1$: We have

$$\Phi_{J,(A/PA)^{r-1}}(X) \equiv \Phi^{\mathrm{sep}}_{J^{|P|},(A/PA)^{r-2}}(X) \cdot \Big(X^{|P|} - J\Big)^{|P|^{r-2}} \bmod P.$$

Example 3. r = 2: This is a combination of examples 1 and 2 above, and gives the classical result (see [1]):

$$\Phi_{J,(A/PA)}(X) \equiv (X - J^{|P|}) \cdot (X^{|P|} - J) \bmod P.$$

Example 4. Now suppose that $r = 3$, $P = T$ and $A = \mathbb{F}_2[T]$, see [3]. In this case,

$$C = \frac{A[J_{07}, J_{12}, J_{41}, J_{70}]}{\langle J_{07}J_{41} - J_{12}^4, \quad J_{12}J_{70} - J_{41}^2\rangle},$$

where $J_{ij} = g_1^i g_2^j$. In [3] we computed $\Phi_{J,(A/TA)^2}(X)$ for $J \in \{J_{07}, J_{12}, J_{41}, J_{70}\}$ (they are denoted $P_{J,T}(X)$ in that paper). Reducing these modulo T, one obtains, for example,

$$\Phi_{J_{12},(A/TA)^2}(X) \equiv \Phi^{\mathrm{sep}}_{J_{12}^2,(A/TA)}(X) \cdot \Big(\Phi^{\mathrm{sep}}_{J_{12}^2,(A/TA)^2}(X^2)\Big)^2 \bmod T$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{\mathrm{sep}}_{J_{12}^2,(A/TA)}(X) &= X^3 + (J_{07}J_{12} + J_{12}^3 + J_{70})X^2 + (J_{07}J_{41} + J_{12}J_{41}J_{70} + J_{41} + J_{70}^2)X \\ &\quad + (J_{07}J_{12}J_{41} + J_{12}^2 J_{70} + J_{12}J_{70}^2 + J_{70}^3 + J_{70}) \\ \Phi^{\mathrm{sep}}_{J_{12}^2,(A/TA)^2}(X^2) &= X^2 + J_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

A similar computation, carried out with the help of Herinniaina Razafinjatovo, confirms that

$$\Phi_{J_{12},(A/TA)}(X) \equiv (X + J_{12}^2) \cdot \Phi^{\mathrm{sep}}_{J_{12}^2,(A/TA)}(X^2) \bmod T,$$

with $\Phi^{\mathrm{sep}}_{J_{12}^2,(A/TA)}(X)$ as above.

References

[1] S. Bae, On the modular equation for Drinfeld modules of rank 2, *J. Number Theory* **42** (1992), 123–133.

[2] F. Breuer, Galois groups associated to generic Drinfeld modules and a conjecture of Abhyankar, *preprint* (2013); arXiv:1303.2334 [math.NT]

[3] F. Breuer, H.-G. Rück, Drinfeld modular polynomials in higher rank, *J. Number Theory* **129** (2009), 59–83.

[4] D. Goss, Basic structures in function field arithmetic, Springer-Verlag, 1996.

[5] I.Y. Potemine, Minimal terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial models of Drinfeld coarse moduli schemes, *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* **1** (1998), 171–191.

Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Stellenbosch
Stellenbosch, 7600
South Africa
fbreuer@sun.ac.za

Institut für Mathematik
Universität Kassel,
Kassel, 34132
Germany
rueck@mathematik.uni-kassel.de